

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/867,924	05/30/2001	Blake J. Roessler	UM-06191	7554
759	90 06/16/2006		EXAMINER	
MEDLEN &C	ARROLL, LLP		FUBARA, BI	LESSING M
101 Howard Street Suite 350		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
San Francisco, CA 94105			1618	
			DATE MAILED: 06/16/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/867,924	BLAKE J. ROESSLER	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Blessing M. Fubara	1618	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 A 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under a 	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 25-59 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 25-59 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filled on is/are: a) accompany and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	er. cepted or b) objected to by the Ee drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	its have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in the control of	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>06/03/2002</u> .	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/867,924

DETAILED ACTION

Examiner acknowledges receipt request for reconsideration and remarks filed 3/27/06. Claims 25-59 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The rejection of claims 25-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Bielinska et al. ("Application of membrane-based dendrimer/DNA complexes for solid phase transfection in vitro and in vovo," in Biomaterials, 21 (2000), pages 877-887) is withdrawn in view of applicants' declaration under rule 1.132 which removes the publication as prior art under 102(a). *In re Katz applies*.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 25-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Foldvari et al. ("Cutaneous vaccination: the skin as an immunologically active tissue and the challenge of antigen delivery," in Journal of Controlled Release, Volume 66, Issues 2-3, 15 May 2000, Pages 199-214) and Baker et al. ("Regulation of in vivo gene expression using antisense oligonucleotides or antisense expression plasmids transfected using starburst PAMAM dendrimers," in Nucleic Acids Research, 1996, Vol. 24, No. 11, pp 2176-2182) in view of Park et al. (US 6,267,987)

Application/Control Number: 09/867,924 Page 3

Art Unit: 1618

4. Foldvari discloses transdermal delivery of protein or nucleotide to the skin tissue (pp. 71-

86). Foldvari discloses on page 205 that dendrimers are known to deliver DNA. Baker

discloses the use of dendrimers to deliver DNA (pp 2176-2182).

The combination of Foldvari and Baker discloses the use of dendrimers for the delivery of proteins or DNA. The combined reference failed to disclose the presence of polyester for the delivery. But Park discloses polyesters as carriers for delivery of nucleic acids. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the combined teaching of Foldvari and Baker for the delivery of DNA or protein. One having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to incorporate polyesters with dendrimer and expect to successfully deliver DNA.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Saltzman et al. ("Materials for protein delivery in tissue engineering," in Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews, Vol. 33, 1998, pp 71-86) discloses controlled protein delivery using polymeric materials.

Tormalia et al. (US 5,338,532) discloses the use of starburst dendrimers for delivery of biologically active agents (column 1, lines 41-44).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Blessing M. Fubara whose telephone number is (571) 272-0594. The examiner can normally be reached on 7 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. (Monday to Thursday).

Application/Control Number: 09/867,924 Page 4

Art Unit: 1618

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Hartley can be reached on (571) 272-0616. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patent Examiner

Tech. Center 1600